

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/874,106	06/04/2001	Shell S. Simpson	10007657-1	6046	
. 75	90 10/20/2005		EXAM	INER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY '			SHINGLES,	SHINGLES, KRISTIE D	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2141		
			DATE MAILED: 10/20/200	DATE MAILED: 10/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/874,106	SIMPSON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kristie Shingles	2141			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		orrespondence address			
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,   `						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	<b>J.</b> nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ju	ıly 2005.				
•		action is non-final.				
3)	·—					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16 and 18-36</u> is/are pending in the application.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-16 and 18-36</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Per Applicant's Request for Continued Examination:

Claims 1, 19 and 36 have been amended. Claim 17 has been cancelled.

Claims 1-16 and 18-36 are pending.

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/25/05 has been entered.

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 and 18-36 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-16 and 18-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The independent claims, claims 1, 19 and 36 contain subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to

Art Unit: 2141

reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In particular, claim language of the independent claims has been amended to include the limitation: "said imaging data being continuously made available (emphasis added) from said personal imaging repository to other web services". There exists no support for this limitation in Applicant's specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1-7, 9-25, 27, 32, 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by *Anderson* (USPN 6,499,016).
- a. Per claim 1, Anderson teaches a system for searching imaging data comprising digital data capable of being represented as two dimensional graphics stored in a personal imaging repository by a requested web service operatively connected to a computing device requesting the service, comprising:
  - a computing device for requesting service with the requested web service (Abstract, col.2 lines 53-67);
  - a personal imaging repository associated with a particular user profile for storing imaging data that is to be accessed by the requested web service (col.2 lines 56-67, col.3 lines 10-67, col.5 lines 20-30, col.5 line 64-col.6 line 8, col.6 lines 32-42), wherein said personal imaging repository is an exchange infrastructure

Page 4

Art Unit: 2141

between the imaging data and available web services (col.2 lines 56-67, col.5 lines 10-19, col.6 lines 32-42);

- user information for allowing access to said personal imaging repository (col.5 lines 20-30); and,
- a requested web service for servicing the imaging data stored in said personal imaging repository responsive to a request from a user and upon having access to said personal imaging repository granted upon receiving said user profile (col.5 line 64-col.6 line 8),
- wherein said imaging data is maintained in said personal imaging repository once said imaging data is service for at first time, said imaging data being continuously made available from said personal imaging repository to other web services (col.6 lines 9-51).
- b. Claims 19 and 36 contain limitations that are substantially equivalent to claim 1, differing only in statutory class, and are therefore rejected under the same basis.
- c. **Per claim 2,** Anderson teaches the system as defined in claim 1 wherein said requested web service sends a web content responsive to a service request from said computing device (Abstract, col.2 lines 53-67, col.6 lines 19-42).
- d. **Per claim 3,** *Anderson* teaches the system as defined in claim 2 wherein said web content causes said user information to be sent to said web service (col.5 lines 20-30, col.6 lines 19-42).
- e. **Per claim 4,** *Anderson* teaches the system as defined in claim 3 wherein said web service accesses said personal imaging repository using said user information (col.5 lines 20-35, col.6 lines 2-8).
- f. **Per claim 5,** *Anderson* teaches the system as defined in claim 1 wherein said web service is provided through a web server (Figure 1, col.2 lines 61-67, col.5 lines 10-19, col.6 lines 19-31).

Art Unit: 2141

Page 5

- g Per claim 6, Anderson teaches the system as defined in claim 1 wherein said computing device further includes a web browser for displaying and executing web content from the available web services (col.6 lines 19-31).
- h. **Per claim 7,** Anderson teaches the system as defined in claim 1 wherein said personal imaging repository provides the imaging data in a plurality of file formats (col 3 lines 30-49).
- i. Per claim 9, Anderson teaches the system as defined in claim 7 wherein said plurality of file formats of said personal imaging repository is any one from the group consisting of: Joint Photographic Experts Group Format; Graphics Interchange Format; Portable Network Graphics Format; Tagged Image File Format; Portable Document Format; and, Microsoft Windows bitmap format (col.3 lines 26-49).
- j. **Per claim 10,** Anderson teaches the system as defined in claim 1 wherein said personal imaging repository comprises an imaging data store for storing imaging data (col.2 lines 2-15 and 53-67, col.3 lines 15-30).
- k. **Per claim 11,** Anderson teaches the system as defined in claim 1 wherein said personal imaging repository comprises a plurality of imaging data stores for storing imaging data (col.5 lines 10-30 and col.5 line 64-31).
- 1. **Per claim 12,** Anderson teaches the system as defined in claim 11 wherein one of said plurality of imaging data stores is assigned to the user associated with said personal imaging repository for user usage (col.5 lines 10-30 and col.5 line 64-col.6 line 31).

Art Unit: 2141

m. Per claim 13, Anderson teaches the system as defined in claim 11 wherein one of

Page 6

said plurality of imaging data stores is assigned to a web service for storing imaging data

available to the public (col.6 lines 32-42).

n. Per claim 14, Anderson teaches the system as defined in claim 1 wherein said

personal imaging repository comprises a composition store for storing imaging compositions of

imaging data serviced as a single unit (col.3 line 50-col.4 line 47).

o. Per claim 15, Anderson teaches the system as defined in claim 14 wherein an

imaging composition comprises a link to each imaging data (col.5 lines 20-30, col.6 lines 5-8).

p. Per claim 16, Anderson teaches the system as defined in claim 1 wherein said

user information is identification and security information used for accessing said personal

imaging repository (col.5 lines 20-21, col.6 lines 38-39).

q. Per claim 18, Anderson teaches the system as defined in claim 1 wherein said

user information is stored on the computing device (col.5 lines 10-21).

r. Per claim 20, Anderson teaches the method according to claim 19 wherein said

step of requesting service further comprising the steps of: requesting web content from the

requested web service by the browser of the computing device (col.6 lines 21-34); receiving the

request for web content from the browser by the requested web service (col.6' lines 28-38);

sending web content to the browser by the requested web service responsive to the request for

web content (col.6 lines 32-41); receiving the web content from the web service by the browser

(col.6 lines 29-30); and, displaying and executing the web content by the browser (col.6 lines

24-42).

Art Unit: 2141

- s. **Per claim 21,** Anderson teaches the method according to claim 20 wherein said step of displaying and executing the web content further comprising the steps of: sending user information to the requested web service by the browser responsive to the web content (col.6 lines 2-8 and 32-38); and, directing the browser to a requested web service responsive to the web content (col.6 lines 24-31 and 38-42).
- t. Claim 22 is substantially similar to claim 21 and is therefore rejected under the same basis.
- u. **Per claim 23,** *Anderson* teaches the method according to claim 19 wherein said step of accessing the personal imaging repository further comprising the steps of: connecting with the composition store of the personal imaging repository by the web service (col.6 lines 5-8 and 19-23); obtaining a list of the imaging composition stored in the composition store by the web service (col.6 lines 19-27); constructing a web content including a list of the imaging composition by the web service and control for selecting the available service (col.6 lines 24-29); and, sending the constructed web content to the browser by the web service for user selection (col.6 lines 28-34).
- v. Per claim 24, Anderson teaches the method according to claim 23 further comprising the steps of: receiving the constructed web content from the web service by the browser (col.6 lines 24-29); and, displaying the constructed web content for user selections by the browser (col.6 lines 30-38).
- w. Per claim 25, Anderson teaches the method according to claim 23 further comprising the steps of requesting a selected composition in a specialized format from the composition store by the web service responsive to user selection; receiving a request for user

Art Unit: 2141

selected composition in a specified format from the web service by the composition store;

obtaining each imaging data indicated by the selected composition from its proper location;

sending the imaging data linked from the user selected composition in the specified format to the

web service by the composition store; and, receiving the imaging data in the specified format

from the composition store by the web service (col.6 lines 21-42).

x. Per claim 27, Anderson teaches the method according to claim 19 wherein said

step of accessing the personal imaging repository further comprising the steps of: connecting

with the imaging data store of the personal imaging repository indicated from the user

information; and, transferring the imaging data to the imaging data store (col.5 lines 20-35, col.5

line 64-col.6 line 8, col.6 lines 19-42).

y. Per claim 32, Anderson teaches the method according to claim 27 further

comprising the steps of: obtaining a link reference of the transferred imaging data stored in the

personal imaging data store; connecting with the composition store of the personal imaging

repository indicated from the user information; creating an imaging composition having a link

reference to the imaging data stored in the personal imaging data store; and, saving the imaging

composition to the composition store (col.6 lines 5-37).

z. Per claim 35, Anderson teaches method according to claim 32 wherein said step

of creating an imaging composition further comprising the step of adding the link reference of

the imaging data stored in the imaging data store to the imaging composition (col.5 lines 20-30,

col.6 lines 5-18).

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/874,106 Page 9

Art Unit: 2141

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 8, 26, 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson (USPN 6,499,016) in view of Bandaru et al (USPN 6,535,228).
- a. **Per claim 8,** Anderson teaches the system as defined in claim 7 as applied above, yet fails to explicitly teach the system wherein said personal imaging repository further comprising a converter for converting the imaging data to any of said plurality of file formats. However, Bandaru et al teach translation of analog imaging data file formats and foreign data file formats into digital and native file formats (col.9 lines 15-40).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of *Anderson* and *Bandaru et al* for the purpose of provisioning the conversion of data formats into other formats for added system support, compatibility and quality of services extended to various data formats.

b. Per claim 26, Anderson teaches the method as defined in claim 25 as applied above, yet fails to explicitly teach the method wherein said step of sending the imaging data further comprising the steps of: determining whether the imaging data needs to be converted into the specified format; and, converting the imaging data in the specified format when the imaging needs to be converted into the specified format. However, Bandaru et al teach determining the

format of imaging data and if it needs to be converted for compatibility with the system, and if so, performing the necessary format conversion (col.9 lines 15-40).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of *Anderson* and *Bandaru et al* for the purpose of provisioning the conversion of data formats to other formats for added system support, compatibility and quality of services extended to various data formats.

- c. Claim 30 is substantially equivalent to claims 8 and 26 and is therefore rejected under the same basis.
- d. Per claim 31, Anderson and Bandaru et al teach the method according to claim 30, Bandaru et al further teach the method wherein said predefined format is any one from the group consisting of: Joint Photographic Experts Group Format; Graphics Interchange Format; Portable Network Graphics Format; Tagged Image File Format; Portable Document Format; and, Microsoft Windows bitmap format (col.9 lines 28-40).
- 9. Claims 28, 29, 33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson (USPN 6,499,016) in view of Morris et al (USPN 6,353,848).
- a. Per claim 28, Anderson et al teach the method according to claim 27 as applied above, yet fail to explicitly teach the method further comprising the steps of obtaining a link reference of the transferred imaging data stored in the personal imaging data store; and, disconnecting from the imaging data store by the requested web service. However, Morris et al teach obtaining a link reference of the stored imaging data and disconnecting by the web service (col.13 lines 30-52 and col.16 lines 39-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of *Anderson* and *Morris et al* for the purpose of establishing a link reference, wherein the data can be accessed via the link without the connection of the imaging data store and web service because this allows for efficient and quicker accessibility to the data.

b. Per claim 29, Anderson et al teach the method according to claim 27 as applied above, yet fail to explicitly teach the method further comprising the steps of: connecting with the imaging data store further comprising the steps of: determining whether the connection with the imaging data store is successful; and, returning an error message to the user when the connection is not successful. However, Morris et al teach the establishment of an imaging data store connection, wherein if the connection is not successful, an error message is returned to the user (col. 12 lines 39-50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of *Anderson* and *Morris et al* for the purpose of establishing a stable connection with the image data store in order for the necessary communication and transactions to occur. Furthermore, returning an error message if the connection is unsuccessful, is an obvious and well-known technique used in the art as indicia to the user that a connection problem exists.

- c. Claim 33 is substantially similar to claim 28 and is therefore rejected under the same basis.
- d. Claim 34 is substantially similar to claim 29 and is therefore rejected under the same basis.

Art Unit: 2141

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure: McIntyre et al (USPN 6,950,800), Crosby et al (USPN 6,870,547), Hendrey et al

(USPN 6,542,748), Haneda et al (USPN 6,801,327), Wong et al (USPN 6,260,021), Berarducci

et al (USPN 6,950,198), Parulski (USPN 6,915,273).

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kristie Shingles whose telephone number is 571-272-3888. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on 571-272-3880. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kristie Shingles Examiner

Examiner
Art Unit 2141

kds

RUPAL DHARIA

Page 12